

A NEW DETERMINATION OF THE PERMUTATION IDENTITIES WHICH ENSURE THAT A SEMIGROUP VARIETY IS FINITELY BASED*

P.M. HIGGINS

Department of Mathematics, California State University, Chico, CA 95929, USA

Communicated by J. Rhodes

Received July 1983

It is shown that every semigroup variety admitting the permutation identity $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = x_{1\pi} x_{2\pi} \cdots x_{n\pi}$ is finitely based if and only if $1\pi \neq 1$ or $n\pi \neq n$.

Introduction and preliminaries

A semigroup variety is *finitely based* if it admits a finite set of identities from which all others are derivable. The classic result in this topic is that all commutative varieties are finitely based [3, Theorem 9]. A natural attempt to generalise this result is to replace ‘commutative’ by some other class of permutation identities, and indeed Pollak [4, Theorem 3], and Aizenstat [1] have both shown that a semigroup variety which admits a permutation identity of the form $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = x_{1\pi} x_{2\pi} \cdots x_{n\pi}$, where $1\pi \neq 1$ and $n\pi \neq n$, is finitely based. Pollak has also observed that this result can be deduced from a theorem of Putcha and Yaquob on consequences of permutation identities [6, Theorem 2]. In this paper we show that we may weaken the condition on the permutation identity to $1\pi \neq 1$ or $n\pi \neq n$. An example of Perkins [3, Theorem 2] shows that our condition is necessary to ensure that a permutation identity guarantee that all varieties admitting it are finitely based, thus determining all permutation identities with this property.

We shall say that a semigroup identity has the *finite basis property* (FBP) if every variety admitting it is finitely based.

Fundamental work on the determination of the identities with FBP has been done by Pollak [5]. He has shown that an identity has FBP only if it has one of twelve possible forms, one of which is the form $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ [5, Theorem 2(a)]. Hence determination of the permutation identities with FBP represents a little progress on this formidable general question.

* The Main Theorem was first obtained by A. Ya. Aizenstat, ‘‘On permutative identities’’, *Sovremennaya Algebra* 3 (1975) 3–12 (in Russian).

A list of identities defining a variety is called a *presentation* of the variety. Observe that every presentation of a finitely based variety contains a finite presentation. A variety \mathcal{V} is *uniformly periodic* if it admits an identity of the form $x^m = x^{m+n}$ for some $m, n \geq 1$. Observe that a variety is either uniformly periodic or all its identities are consequences of the commutative identity. These identities are called *balanced* as each variable appearing in such an identity occurs equally often on both sides, and we call a variety *balanced* if all its identities are balanced.

Example 1 [3, Theorem 2]. *The variety $[xyzw = xzyw, yx^k y = xyx^{k-2}yx, k = 2, 3, \dots]$ is not finitely based.*

It follows that a permutation identity has FBP only if it is not a consequence of the *normal identity*, $xyzw = xzyw$, that is only if it has the form $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = x_{1\pi} x_{2\pi} \cdots x_{n\pi}$, where π is a permutation on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and either $1\pi \neq 1$ or $n\pi \neq n$.

Result 2 [3, Theorem 22]. *All uniformly periodic varieties admitting a non-trivial permutation identity are finitely based.*

This result allows us to restrict our attention to balanced varieties, while the next result will let us circumvent the difficulties which arise when dealing with arbitrary permutation identities.

Result 3 [2, Proposition 3.1(ii)]. *Every permutation identity of the form $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = x_{1\pi} x_{2\pi} \cdots x_{n\pi}$ where $n\pi \neq n$ implies the identity $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n xy = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n yx$.*

For a word $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ we denote the length of f by $|f|$. The *content* of f , denoted by $C(f)$, is the set of variables occurring in f .

The Main Theorem

Theorem 4. *A permutation identity $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = x_{1\pi} x_{2\pi} \cdots x_{n\pi}$, where π is a permutation on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, has FBP if and only if either $1\pi \neq 1$ or $n\pi \neq n$.*

Proof. The necessity of the condition on the permutation identity was shown in the remark following Example 1.

To prove the converse, suppose to the contrary that there exists a permutation identity $\phi: x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = x_{1\pi} x_{2\pi} \cdots x_{n\pi}$ such that $n\pi \neq n$, which does not have FBP. Then there exists an infinite sequence of balanced identities, $\phi, \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots$, such that ϕ_k is not a consequence of its predecessors in the sequence, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. By Result 3, we may replace ϕ by $\phi_0: x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n xy = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n yx$, and the previous statement remains true.

For any balanced identity $\psi: f = g$, we have $|f| = |g|$, so we may unambiguously speak of the length of ψ , denoted by $|\psi|$.

Since there are only finitely many distinct balanced identities of length less than $n + 1$, without loss we may assume that $|\phi_i| > n$ for all $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and so write ϕ_i in the form $\phi_i: p_i f_i = q_i g_i$, where $|p_i| = |q_i| = n$ and $|f_i| = |g_i| > 1$, for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$.

Let $\bar{\phi}_i$ be an identity of the form $p_i \bar{f}_i = q_i \bar{g}_i$ where $f_i = \bar{f}_i$, $g_i = \bar{g}_i$ are balanced identities (that is the words $p_i \bar{f}_i, q_i \bar{g}_i$ are obtained from $p_i f_i, q_i g_i$ by permuting the variables of f_i and g_i respectively). Observe that $\bar{\phi}_i$ is a consequence of the conjunction of ϕ_0 and ϕ_i . This allows us to replace ϕ_i by $\bar{\phi}_i$ in the list ϕ_0, ϕ_1, \dots , and still maintain a sequence of balanced identities of length greater than n , no one of which is a consequence of its predecessors. In the course of the proof we shall rearrange the ϕ_i in such a fashion without comment, and we shall call the new identity by the same name, ϕ_i .

There are only finitely many possibilities for $|C(p_i)|, |C(q_i)|$ and $|C(p_i) \cap C(q_i)|$. Therefore, by passing to a suitable subsequence, we may assume that $|C(p_i)|, |C(q_i)|$ and $|C(p_i) \cap C(q_i)|$ are independent of $i, i = 1, 2, \dots$, and so we may assume that $C(p_i) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l\}, C(q_i) = \{x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_t\}$ say, with $l > 1, k < l + 1$, for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Moreover, since there are only finitely many words of length n in a given set of variables, there are only finitely many possibilities for p_i and for q_i . Therefore, again passing to a suitable subsequence, we may assume that p_i and q_i are independent of i , that is without loss we may assume that each $\phi_i, i > 1$, has the form $\phi_i: p f_i = q g_i$ with $|p| = |q| = n, |f_i| = |g_i| \geq 1$.

We now write the left hand side of $\phi_i, i \geq 1$, as

$$p x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} \cdots x_t^{r_t} x_{t+1}^{s_1} x_{t+2}^{s_2} \cdots x_{t+m}^{s_m}$$

where $r_j \geq 0, s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \dots \geq s_m \geq 1$ ($r_1, \dots, r_t, s_1, \dots, s_m$ and m all depending on i). We associate a pair of vectors (u_i, v_i) with $\phi_i: u_i = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t), v_i = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m, 0, 0, \dots)$, and define a partial order on the $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ by $\phi_i \leq \phi_{i'}$ if $u_i \leq u_{i'}$ and $v_i \leq v_{i'}$, where the partial order on the u 's and on the v 's is that induced by the natural lineal order on entries.

We now observe that if $\phi_i \leq \phi_{i'}$, then the conjunction of ϕ_0 and ϕ_i implies $\phi_{i'}$. To see this we consider the left hand side of $\phi_{i'}$:

$$p f_{i'} = p x_1^{r'_1} x_2^{r'_2} \cdots x_t^{r'_t} x_{t+1}^{s'_1} \cdots x_{t+m}^{s'_m}$$

and rewrite it in the form $p f_i h$ where

$$h = x_1^{r'_1 - r_1} x_2^{r'_2 - r_2} \cdots x_t^{r'_t - r_t} x_{t+1}^{s'_1 - s_1} \cdots x_{t+m}^{s'_m - s_m},$$

($s_{m+1}, s_{m+2}, \dots, s_{m'} = 0$). Applying the identity ϕ_i to $p f_i h$ yields $q g_i h = q g_{i'}$, as ϕ_i and $\phi_{i'}$ are balanced.

Therefore to complete the proof it is enough to show that given a sequence of pairs, $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2), \dots, (u_r, v_r), \dots$, where the u_i and v_i are defined as before, there exists $i < i'$ such that $u_i \leq u_{i'}$ and $v_i \leq v_{i'}$. Since the u_i 's are t -dimensional, it is easy to show by induction on t , that the sequence of u_i 's contains an increasing chain.

Therefore without loss we assume that $u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \dots \leq u_r \leq \dots$. It remains to show that for some $i < i'$, $v_i \leq v_{i'}$. This is a special case of the following result in which we call an infinite vector with entries from $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ a *vector* over \mathbb{N}^0 . We call a vector over \mathbb{N}^0 , (n_1, n_2, \dots) , *decreasing* if $n_s \geq n_t$ for all $s < t$ ($s, t \in \mathbb{N}$).

Lemma 5. *If $S_0 = (v_1, v_2, \dots)$ is a sequence of decreasing vectors over \mathbb{N}^0 , then there exists $i < i'$ such that $v_i \leq v_{i'}$.*

Proof. We first prove the statement of the lemma for the case where each $v_i = (v_{i1}, v_{i2}, \dots)$ has only finitely many non-zero entries (note that this special case is all that is required to complete the proof of Theorem 4).

Suppose that $v_1 \not\leq v_i$ for all $i \neq 1$. Then for each $i \neq 1$ there exists k such that $v_{ik} < v_{1k}$. Since only finitely many entries of v_1 are non-zero, there are only finitely many possibilities for k . This allows us to extract a subsequence S_1 from S_0 , where S_1 consists of those v_i such that $v_{ik(1)} < v_{1k(1)}$ for some positive integer $k(1)$.

If possible, we repeat this process and construct successive subsequences S_0, S_1, S_2, \dots , with S_{j+1} a subsequence of S_j , and list the corresponding integers $k(1), k(2), \dots$. By deletion of some of the S_j if necessary, we may assume that $k(1) \leq k(2) \leq \dots$. Denote $v_{1k(1)}$ by n .

Suppose it is possible to construct $S_0, S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{n+1}$. For each member of S_1 , all entries after the $k(1)$ st are less than n . For each member of S_2 , all entries after the $k(2)$ nd are less than the $k(2)$ nd entry in the first member of S_1 , which in turn is less than n because $k(2) \geq k(1)$. Hence for each member of S_2 , all entries after the $k(2)$ nd are less than $n - 1$. By repetition of this argument, we derive the contradiction that for each member of S_{n+1} , all entries after the $k(n+1)$ th are less than 0. Hence, at some point the above construction fails: that is for some subsequence S_j , beginning with v_i say, there exists $v_{i'}$ in S_j , with $i < i'$, such that $v_i \leq v_{i'}$. Since S_j is a subsequence of S_0 , this completes the proof in this case.

To complete the proof of the lemma we now return to the general case and for each v_i we define the minimum of v_i , denoted by $\min v_i$, to be the least number which occurs in v_i . If we can extract a subsequence from S_0 whose vectors all have the same minimum, the result follows by the preceding argument. If not, we may extract a subsequence w_1, w_2, \dots , from S_0 such that $\min w_i > \min w_j$ for all $i < j$. Consider the sequence of vectors, $\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2, \dots$, where \bar{w}_i is obtained from w_i by replacing all entries of w_i that equal $\min w_i$ by 0. By the argument above, there exists $i < i'$ such that $\bar{w}_i \leq \bar{w}_{i'} \leq \bar{w}_{i'}$. Compare the entries of w_i to those of $w_{i'}$: since w_i agrees with \bar{w}_i for all entries up to the first appearance of $\min w_i$, we have that the entries of w_i up to this point are less than or equal to their counterparts in $w_{i'}$, while all entries of w_i after the first appearance of $\min w_i$ are equal to $\min w_i < \min w_{i'}$ which is less than or equal to all entries of $w_{i'}$, hence $w_i \leq w_{i'}$, thus completing the proof of the lemma.

References

- [1] A. Aizneštat, Permutation identities, *Modern Algebra*, no. 3 (Leningrad. Gos. Ped. Inst., Leningrad, 1975) 3–12.
- [2] N.M. Khan, On saturated permutative varieties and consequences of permutation identities, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 7 (1984).
- [3] P. Perkins, Bases for equational theories of semigroups, *J. Algebra* 11 (1968) 298–314.
- [4] G. Pollak, On the consequences of permutation identities, *Acta Sci. Math.* 34 (1973) 323–333.
- [5] G. Pollak, On hereditarily finitely based varieties of semigroups, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 37 (3–4) (1975) 339–348.
- [6] Putcha, M.S. and Y. Yaqub, Semigroups satisfying permutation identities, *Semigroup Forum* 3 (1971) 68–73.